

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/911,061	07/23/2001	Yihsiu Chen	2001-0056	3217
75	90 10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Samuel H. Dworetsky			TRAN, NGHI V	
AT&T CORP. P.O. Box 4110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Middletown, NJ 07748-4110			2151	
		DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/911,061	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nghi V. Tran	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.5	luly 2006					
· _ ·	s action is non-final.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application	1					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable as a contract of the specification is objected to by the Examination and the specification is objected to by the Examination and acceptable as a specification is objected to by the Examination and the specification is objected to by the Examination and the specification is objected to by the Examination and the specification is objected to by the Examination and the specification is objected to by the Examination and the specification is objected to by the Examination and the specification is objected to by the Examination and the specification and the specification are specification as a specific at the specific at th		Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· ·	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price						
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	гасы Аррісацоп				

Application/Control Number: 09/911,061 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on July 12, 2006. No claims have been amended. No claims have been canceled. Claims 1-21 have been withdrawn. Therefore, claims 22-27 are presented for further examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu, U.S. Patent No. 6,079,020 (hereinafter Liu), in view of Larson et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0107286 (hereinafter Larson).
- 4. With respect to claim 22, Liu teaches a method practiced at a network interface unit (NIU) directly connected to at least one local area network (LAN), said NIU also being connected to a non-secure node of a second network, which second network is in packet communication with at least one access node of a secure virtual private network (VPN) [figs.1-2 and see abstract], the method comprising:

receiving data packets from at least one device on said at least one LAN
 [210],

- multiplexing said data packets into at least one packet data stream [col.7, lns.8-67],
- modifying said packet data streams in a security server in said NIU in accordance with a secure communication protocol by encrypting packets in said data streams and encapsulating resulting encrypted packets [240].

However, Liu does not explicitly show providing network destination address information from a Domain Name System (DNS) server for at least selected ones of said data streams.

In a method for establishing secure communication, Larson discloses providing network destination address information from a DNS server for at least selected ones of said data streams [paragraphs 0024, 0225, 0260-0268].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Liu in view of Larson by providing network destination address information from a DNS server for at least selected ones of said data streams because this feature is enabled at a first computer without a user entering any cryptographic information for establishing the secure communication mode of communication [Larson, see abstract]. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated in order to automatically create of a VPN in response to a DNS server look-up function [Larson, paragraph 0261].

Art Unit: 2151

5. With respect to claim 23, Liu is silent on said modifying said packet data streams in a security server comprises modifying said packet streams in an IPsec server.

Page 4

In a virtual private network, Larson discloses said modifying said packet data streams in a security server comprises modifying said packet streams in an IPsec server [paragraph 0285].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Liu in view of Larson by modifying said packet streams in an IPsec server because this feature is enabled at a first computer without a user entering any cryptographic information for establishing the secure communication mode of communication [Larson, see abstract]. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated in order to automatically create of a VPN in response to a DNS server look-up function [Larson, paragraph 0261].

- 6. With respect to claim 24, Liu further teaches a method comprising:
  - receiving at least one stream of data packets from said non-secure network
     [300 and 310],
  - filtering out packets in said streams of received packets that are not from said VPN network, said filtering being performed by a firewall in said security server [320],

Art Unit: 2151

modifying said packets in said at least one stream by decrypting said packets

Page 5

in said at least one received data stream and decapsulating resulting

decrypted packets, said decrypting and decapsulating being performed by

said security server [340],

demultiplexing said at least one stream of received data packets to form at

least one demultiplexed stream of data packets for delivery to said at least

one LAN [350].

7. With respect to claim 25, Liu further teaches authenticating client devices on said

at least one LAN, and wherein packets from authenticated client devices on said at least

one LAN that are received at said network interface device are processed as packets

received from said VPN [col.3, Ins.1-61].

8. With respect to claim 26, Liu further teaches wherein said non-secure node of a

second network is part of said NIU [131].

9. With respect to claim 27, Liu further teaches wherein said at least selected ones

of said at least one packet data stream are applied to said non-secure node of said

second network [fig.1].

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed January 18, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following: Liu teaches a method practiced at a network interface unit (NIU) directly connected to at least one local area network (LAN), said NIU also being connected to a non-secure node of a second network, which second network is in packet communication with at least one access node of a secure virtual private network (VPN) [figs.1-2 and see abstract], the method comprising: receiving data packets from at least one device on said at least one LAN [210], multiplexing said data packets into at least one packet data stream [col.7, lns.8-67], modifying said packet data streams in a security server in said NIU in accordance with a secure communication protocol by encrypting packets in said data streams and encapsulating resulting encrypted packets [240]. However, Liu does not explicitly show providing network destination address information from a Domain Name System (DNS) server for at least selected ones of said data streams. In a method for establishing secure communication, Larson discloses providing network destination address information from a DNS server for at least selected ones of said data streams [paragraphs 0024, 0225, 0260-0268]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Liu in view of Larson by providing network destination address information from a DNS server for at least selected ones of said data streams because this feature is enabled at a first computer without a user entering any cryptographic information for establishing the secure communication mode of communication [Larson, see abstract]. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been

Page 6

Art Unit: 2151

motivated in order to automatically create of a VPN in response to a DNS server look-up function [Larson, paragraph 0261].

Page 7

- 11. In response to applicant's argument that "Larson is not performed at a NIU". Examiner respectfully disagrees because applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642F. 2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F. 2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Applicant obviously attacks references individually without taking into consideration based on the teaching of combinations of references as show in the above.
- 12. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Liu in view of Larson by providing network destination address information from a DNS server for at least selected ones of said data streams because this feature is

Application/Control Number: 09/911,061 Page 8

Art Unit: 2151

enabled at a first computer without a user entering any cryptographic information for establishing the secure communication mode of communication [Larson, see abstract]. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated in order to automatically create of a VPN in response to a DNS server look-up function [Larson, paragraph 0261].

- 19. In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "at a network interface unit (NIU)" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).
- 12. Therefore, the examiner asserts that cited prior arts teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in independent claims. Claims 23-27 are rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on independent claims and by other reasons set forth above. Accordingly, claims 22-27 are respectfully rejected as shown above.

## Conclusion

Art Unit: 2151

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Page 9

Art Unit: 2151

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi V Tran Patent Examiner Art Unit 2151

September 30, 2006

HUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES